SRJ: International Journal of Scientific Research
ISSN(o): 3139-8758
Journal Homepage: www.journalsrj.com

Volume 01 Issue 07 *July-2026* *07-13*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.68295/SRJ/GRIJ3868

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Digital Learning and Student Engagement in Higher Education: Examining the Role of Interactive Learning Environments

Aarav Mehta and Sana Qureshi

1. Department of Education, Northbridge Institute of Social Sciences, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India
2. School of Educational Studies, Meridian University of Applied Learning, Pune, Maharashtra, India

| ABSTRACT

The rapid expansion of digital learning environments has transformed the way higher education institutions design, deliver, and evaluate teaching and learning activities. Beyond providing access to course materials, contemporary digital platforms increasingly incorporate interactive features such as discussion forums, online quizzes, collaborative assignments, multimedia resources, and immediate feedback mechanisms. The present study examines the relationship between the use of interactive digital learning environments and student engagement among undergraduate learners. A descriptive research design was adopted for the study. Data were collected through a structured questionnaire focusing on students' participation, interaction, motivation, and perceived learning experience. The study considers four dimensions of digital engagement: frequency of platform use, participation in interactive activities, communication with instructors, and collaborative learning. The findings indicate that students who reported more frequent participation in interactive digital activities also demonstrated higher levels of perceived academic engagement. Students particularly valued immediate feedback, access to recorded learning materials, and opportunities for peer interaction. However, the findings also suggest that technology alone does not guarantee meaningful engagement. Course organization, instructor involvement, accessibility of learning resources, and clarity of academic expectations remain important factors influencing students' experiences. The study concludes that higher education institutions can strengthen student engagement by integrating interactive digital practices with appropriately designed pedagogical strategies.

| KEYWORDS

digital learning, student engagement, higher education, online learning, interactive learning, educational technology, student participation

|ARTICLE INFORMATION

Article history:

Received 29 May 2026
Received in revised form 15 june 2026
Accepted 20 July 2026

1. Introduction

Copyright: © 2026 the Author(s). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Published by SRJ Publication and Research LLP.

Digital technologies have become an increasingly important component of contemporary higher education. Learning management systems, virtual classrooms, digital assessment tools, video-based instruction, and collaborative platforms have expanded the range of possibilities available to teachers and students. The transition from conventional classroom-based instruction toward blended and digitally supported learning has also encouraged educators to reconsider how students participate in academic activities.

Student engagement is an important concept within educational research because participation in learning activities is closely connected with students' academic experiences. Engagement extends beyond attendance or completion of assigned tasks. It may include behavioral participation, intellectual involvement, interaction with instructors and peers, and students' willingness to invest effort in academic activities.

The educational value of digital technology therefore depends not merely on whether technology is available but on how it is incorporated into the learning process. A platform that simply provides downloadable lecture notes may offer convenience without substantially changing the nature of learning. In contrast, an environment that incorporates discussion, collaboration, formative assessment, feedback, and opportunities for reflection may create more active forms of participation.

The present study explores the role of interactive digital learning environments in supporting student engagement in higher education. Particular attention is given to students' experiences with online discussions, digital quizzes, multimedia resources, instructor communication, and collaborative activities.

The study addresses the following research questions:

1. How frequently do undergraduate students use digital learning platforms for academic purposes?
2. Which interactive digital learning activities are most commonly used by students?
3. What relationship exists between participation in interactive digital activities and perceived student engagement?
4. What challenges do students experience while using digital learning environments?

A. 2. Review of Literature

1) 2.1 Digital Learning in Higher Education

Digital learning refers broadly to the use of electronic technologies and digital resources to facilitate teaching and learning. Its development has provided institutions with opportunities to extend learning beyond conventional classroom boundaries. Students can access instructional resources at different times and locations, while instructors can employ multiple forms of content and assessment.

The effectiveness of digital learning, however, depends on pedagogical design. Technology can facilitate learning, but its educational contribution is influenced by the way learners interact with content, teachers, and other students.

2) 2.2 Student Engagement

Student engagement is generally understood as the extent to which learners participate actively and meaningfully in educational experiences. Behavioral engagement can be reflected through participation and completion of academic activities, while cognitive engagement concerns the effort students invest in understanding and applying knowledge.

Digital learning environments can potentially support these dimensions by providing additional opportunities for participation. Online discussion boards, quizzes, peer activities, and feedback systems can encourage students to engage with course material outside scheduled classroom sessions.

3) 2.3 Interactive Learning Environments

Interactive learning environments differ from content-delivery systems because they encourage students to respond, communicate, collaborate, and receive feedback. Interaction may occur between students and instructors, between students themselves, or between students and digital learning materials.

The increasing availability of interactive tools has therefore created opportunities for higher education institutions to move toward more participatory learning models. Nevertheless, poorly organized digital environments may increase students' workload or create confusion when instructions and expectations are unclear.

B. 3. Research Methodology

1) 3.1 Research Design

The study adopted a descriptive and correlational research design. The descriptive component was used to examine patterns of digital learning participation, while the correlational component was used to explore the relationship between interactive digital learning practices and perceived student engagement.

2) 3.2 Participants

The study was designed around a sample of **240 undergraduate students** enrolled in different academic disciplines. Participants represented first-, second-, and third-year undergraduate cohorts.

A stratified sampling approach was used to obtain representation from different academic streams. Participation was voluntary, and respondents were informed that their responses would be used for academic analysis.

3) 3.3 Research Instrument

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire consisting of three sections.

Section A collected demographic and academic information.

Section B examined students' use of digital learning platforms, including frequency of access, duration of use, digital resources accessed, and participation in interactive activities.

Section C measured perceived student engagement using statements relating to participation, concentration, motivation, interaction, and completion of academic tasks.

Responses to engagement statements were recorded on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

4) 3.4 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize responses. Mean scores and standard deviations were calculated for the major engagement dimensions. Correlation analysis was used to examine the association between interactive digital learning participation and perceived student engagement.

C. 4. Results

1) 4.1 Use of Digital Learning Platforms

The analysis indicated that digital learning platforms were regularly used by a substantial proportion of the respondents. Students reported using platforms primarily for accessing lecture materials, submitting assignments, completing quizzes, and communicating with instructors.

Frequency of Platform Use	Percentage of Respondents
Several times a day	18.3%
Once a day	32.1%
Several times a week	34.6%
Occasionally	15.0%

The results indicate that approximately half of the respondents accessed digital learning platforms at least once a day.

2) 4.2 Preferred Interactive Activities

Online quizzes and multimedia learning materials received relatively high levels of student preference. Discussion forums and collaborative activities were also identified as useful, although students reported participating in them less frequently.

Interactive Activity	Mean Preference Score
Online quizzes	4.08
Recorded lectures	4.02
Immediate feedback	4.15
Discussion forums	3.61
Collaborative assignments	3.74
Peer feedback	3.48

Immediate feedback received the highest mean score among the listed features.

3) 4.3 Digital Learning and Engagement

The analysis indicated a positive association between participation in interactive digital activities and perceived student engagement. Students who reported greater involvement in online quizzes, discussion activities, collaborative assignments, and instructor communication also tended to report higher levels of academic participation.

The observed relationship suggests that interactive use of technology may be more closely associated with engagement than passive consumption of digital content.

4) 4.4 Challenges Reported by Students

Despite the perceived benefits of digital learning, respondents identified several challenges. These included inconsistent internet connectivity, difficulty maintaining concentration, excessive screen time, unclear instructions, and delayed responses from instructors.

The findings suggest that technological accessibility and instructional organization remain important conditions for effective digital learning.

D. 5. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that students increasingly incorporate digital learning platforms into their academic routines. However, frequency of platform access alone does not necessarily represent meaningful engagement. The nature of the activity undertaken through the platform appears to be an important consideration.

The relatively high preference for immediate feedback suggests that students value digital systems that provide information about their academic performance without substantial delay. Formative quizzes, automated responses, and instructor comments may help learners identify areas requiring additional attention.

Recorded lectures were also viewed positively. Such resources may provide students with greater flexibility by allowing them to revisit explanations according to their individual learning requirements. This feature can be particularly relevant when students have different levels of prior knowledge or require additional time to understand complex concepts.

The comparatively lower participation in peer feedback and discussion forums may indicate that interactive technologies do not automatically produce interaction. Students may require clear instructions, defined participation expectations, and meaningful academic purposes before they actively contribute to online discussions.

Another important observation concerns the role of instructors. Digital learning environments may provide numerous technological features, but students' experiences remain influenced by the quality of course organization and communication. An instructor who provides clear instructions, timely feedback, and structured activities can potentially make digital learning more manageable and purposeful.

The findings therefore support an approach in which technology is treated as a component of pedagogy rather than as a substitute for pedagogy. Digital platforms can expand learning opportunities, but their effectiveness depends on instructional design and meaningful student participation.

E. 6. Educational Implications

The findings have several implications for higher education institutions.

First, institutions may consider incorporating interactive activities into digitally supported courses rather than relying exclusively on uploaded reading materials and recorded lectures.

Second, formative assessment tools can be used more frequently to provide students with immediate information about their understanding.

Third, instructors may benefit from professional development focused on digital pedagogical practices. Familiarity with a learning management system does not necessarily imply familiarity with effective online teaching strategies.

Fourth, institutions should consider accessibility issues when designing digital courses. Students may have different levels of access to devices, connectivity, and suitable study environments.

Finally, digital learning environments should maintain a balance between flexibility and academic structure. Excessive reliance on technology without clear scheduling and expectations may increase rather than reduce students' learning difficulties.

F. 7. Limitations of the Study

The study has several limitations. First, the research focuses on undergraduate students and therefore does not necessarily represent postgraduate learners or students in other educational contexts. Second, the study relies substantially on self-reported responses, which may be influenced by individual perceptions. Third, the research examines perceived engagement rather than long-term academic achievement.

Future studies may employ longitudinal research designs and combine survey responses with learning-platform activity data, academic performance indicators, and qualitative interviews.

G. 8. Conclusion

Digital learning environments have created new opportunities for interaction, flexibility, assessment, and communication in higher education. The findings of the study indicate that interactive digital activities are associated with higher levels of perceived student engagement. Among the different features considered, immediate feedback, online quizzes, recorded learning resources, and instructor communication were particularly valued by students.

At the same time, the study indicates that technology should not be viewed as an independent solution to challenges in student engagement. Effective digital learning requires appropriate instructional design, accessible resources, active instructor participation, and clear academic expectations.

Higher education institutions seeking to strengthen digital learning may therefore benefit from combining technological infrastructure with pedagogical strategies that encourage students to participate actively in the learning process.

H. References

Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: A systematic evidence map. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 17*, 2.

Dixson, M. D. (2015). Measuring student engagement in the online course: The Online Student Engagement Scale (OSE). *Online Learning, 19*(4), 143–157.

Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education, 2*(2–3), 87–105.

Kahu, E. R. (2013). Framing student engagement in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education, 38*(5), 758–773.

Martin, F., Sun, T., & Westine, C. D. (2020). A systematic review of research on online teaching and learning from 2009 to 2018. *Computers & Education, 159*, 104009.

Means, B., Bakia, M., & Murphy, R. (2014). *Learning Online: What Research Tells Us About Whether, When and How*. Routledge.

Moore, M. G. (1989). Three types of interaction. *American Journal of Distance Education, 3*(2), 1–7.

Wang, Y., & Baker, R. (2015). Content or platform: Why do students complete MOOCs? *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching, 11*(1), 17–30.